Summary of Carcinogenic Potency and Positivity for 492 Rodent Carcinogens in the Carcinogenic Potency Database

by Lois Swirsky Gold,* Thomas H. Slone,* and Leslie Bernstein[†]

A tabulation of carcinogenic potency (TD_{50}) by species for 492 chemicals that induce tumors in rats or mice is presented. With the use of the Carcinogenic Potency Database, experimental results are summarized by indicating in which sex-species groups the chemical was tested and the respective evaluations of carcinogenicity. A comparison of three summary measures of TD_{50} for chemicals with more than one positive experiment per species shows that the most potent TD_{50} value is similar to measures that average values or functions of values. This tabulation can be used to investigate associations between rodent potency and other factors such as mutagenicity, teratogenicity, chemical structure, and human exposure.

Introduction

For a variety of purposes it is desirable to have a summary measure of the carcinogenic potency of a chemical in rodents. A single value is needed, for example, to summarize the chronic toxicity of a chemical, to estimate carcinogenic hazards to humans by a comparison of rodent potency and human exposure (1,2), or to compare results of short-term tests with those of rodent bioassays (3). For several years we have been developing the Carcinogenic Potency Database (CPDB), a compilation of the results of chronic rodent cancer tests and the potency values derived from those results (4-6). The CPDB contains data on approximately 3700 experiments of 975 compounds, including tests from the National Cancer Institute and National Toxicology Program (NCI/ NTP), as well as from the general published literature. For a given compound, the database may include experiments in both rats and mice, males and females. a variety of strains or routes of administration, and a variety of doses and experimental conditions; alternatively, for a different substance there may be only one experiment conducted in a single sex-species group. In order to construct a chemical-by-chemical list of carcinogenic potency in each species, some method must be selected to summarize the potency of a carcinogen when there is more than one potency estimate for it. Additional information to summarize the experimental results includes the number of sexspecies groups tested and the evaluations of carcinogenicity in each group.

In this paper we present a table summarizing carcinogenic potency in rats and mice for the 492 chemicals that have positive results in the CPDB; we also indicate in which sex-species groups the chemical was tested and the respective evaluations of carcinogenicity. Our intent is that this summary compilation will be a useful reference source for the scientific and regulatory communities, and that it will facilitate the use of our larger published plots of the CPDB. The larger plots provide detailed information on each experiment including the species, sex, strain, route of administration, duration of exposure and of experiment, dose levels, target sites, shape of the dose response, estimates of carcinogenic potency and the confidence limits surrounding it, statistical significance of the carcinogenic dose response, tumor incidences, and bibliographic citation to the published paper or to the NCI/NTP Technical Report.

Methods

Our analyses are based on the chemicals reported in the CPDB (4-6), which has been fully described in

^{*}Biology and Medicine Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720.

[†]Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA 90033.

Gold et al. (4) as to bioassay inclusion criteria, protocol characteristics and derived variables. The database is organized by chemical using a plot format and includes bioassay results from two sources: papers published in the general literature through 1984, and NCI/NTP Technical Reports published through May 1986. All experiments in the database meet a specific set of inclusion criteria that were designed to permit the estimation of carcinogenic potency; therefore, reasonable consistency of experimental protocols is assured. Rodent bioassays are included in the database only if the test agent was administered alone rather than in combination with other substances; if the bioassay included a control group; if the route of administration was either diet, water, gavage, inhalation, IV injection or IP injection; and if the length of experiment in rodents was at least 1 year with dosing for at least 6 months. For the CPDB, we do not evaluate the evidence for carcinogenicity in an experiment; rather, we report the evaluation of the published author and calculate the statistical significance of the tumorigenic dose response in the experiment.

Carcinogenicity

Our tabular compilation of results by sex-species group and of carcinogenic potency by species is restricted to chemicals identified as carcinogens in our database. We classify the results of an experiment as either positive or negative on the basis of the author's opinion in the published paper, and we include in the present publication only those chemicals that have been evaluated as positive by the author of at least one experiment. In some cases authors do not clearly state their evaluation, and in some NCI/NTP Technical Reports the evidence for carcinogenicity is considered only suggestive; in our analyses we consider these cases as lacking clear evidence of carcinogenicity and do not use them to identify a chemical as positive. We use the author's opinion to determine positivity because it often takes into account more information than statistical significance alone, such as historical control rates for particular sites, survival and latency, and/or dose response. Generally, this designation by author's opinion corresponds well with the results of statistical tests for the significance of the dose-response effect (4,7).

In our tabular compilation of positivity by sexspecies group for each of the 492 chemicals classified as positive, we indicate whether the compound was tested in each group and list the strongest level of evidence for carcinogenicity based upon any author's evaluation in either the general literature or the NCI/NTP. The strongest evaluation is clear evidence of carcinogenicity (+). When there was no such evaluation in one of the sex-species groups, but the compound was tested by NCI/NTP and their evaluation was stronger than "no evidence of carcinogenicity" (–), we indicate whether that NCI/NTP evaluation was "some evidence of carcinogenicity" (A), "equivocal" (E) or "inadequate bioassay" (I). These evaluations correspond to the opinions reported in our published plots; we note that in a few borderline cases our interpretation of the Technical Reports differs from those recently tabulated by Haseman et al. (8).

Carcinogenic Potency Values

In our analyses of carcinogenic potency we use our numerical index, the TD_{50} , which has been fully described in Sawyer et al. (9) and in Peto et al. (10), and which is reported for each target site in our published plots. Briefly, TD₅₀ may be defined as follows: For a given target site(s), TD_{50} is the chronic dose rate in milligrams per kilogram body weight per day that would induce tumors in half the test animals at the end of a standard lifespan for the species in the absence of tumors in control animals. Since the tumor(s) of interest often occurs in control animals, TD_{50} is more precisely defined as the chronic dose rate that will halve the probability of remaining tumor-free throughout the standard lifespan of the species (9,10). For bioassays from the NCI/NTP program, TD_{50} values are estimated from lifetable data (11) and are adjusted for the differential effects of toxicity among dose groups and for differences in the time pattern of tumor incidence. For experiments from the general literature, TD₅₀ values are based on summary incidence data [for a comparison of methods and TD_{50} values see (9,11)]. The range of TD₅₀ values for carcinogens in the CPDB is more than 10 million-fold.

For the purposes of this summary, in any given positive experiment we select the lowest TD_{50} value from among positively evaluated target sites with a statistically significant dose response (two-tailed p < 0.1). If no positive sites have a significant dose response, then we select the most potent (lowest TD_{50}) from among positively evaluated sites with $p \ge 0.1$. This method provides a single TD_{50} to represent an experiment. For approximately one-half of the carcinogens in rats and one-third of the carcinogens in mice, the CPDB includes only one positive experiment. For chemicals with more than one positive test, we summarize potency in a species by selecting the lowest significant TD_{50} value from among those representing each experiment. If none is significant, the lowest is chosen from among these nonsignificant values. In some experiments, no TD_{50} could be estimated because all animals in the only dose group had the tumor of interest, and the only data available were for crude percentages of animals with a tumor (4). For these cases we use the 99% upper confidence limit of TD_{50} as a replacement for the TD_{50} .

Results

In Table 1 we report results for the 492 test agents that were evaluated by a published author as tumorigenic in at least one experiment. These are the 492 positive

Table 1. Carcinogenic potency in $mg/kg/day$ (TD ₅₀) and positivity in rats	
and mice for chemicals evaluated as carcinogens in at least one experiment.	

Rat	Mouse	MR	FR	MM	FM	CAS	Name
NT	1.61 ^a	NΤ	NT	+	+	16568-02-8	ACETALDEHYDE METHYLFORMYLHYDRAZONE
104 ^a	3010	+	+	+	_	60-35-5	ACETAMIDE
-	1010 ^a	-	\mathbf{NT}	+	+	103-90-2	ACETAMINOPHEN
6.05	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	18523-69-8	ACETONE/4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-2-THIAZOLYL/HYDRAZONE
12.1	NT	+	_	NT	NT	127-06-0	ACETOXIME
21.1ª	-	+	NΤ	-	NT	34627-78-6	1'-ACETOXYSAFROLE
NT	208 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	65734-38-5	N'-ACETYL-4-(HYDROXYMETHYL)PHENYLHYDRAZINE
NT	319 ^a	NT	NT	÷	+	1078-38-2	1-ACETYL-2-ISONICOTINOYLHYDRAZINE
NT	44.8 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	114-83-0	1-ACETYL-2-PHENYLHYDRAZINE
1.18	NT	NT	+	NT	NT		
0.841^{a}	4.78 ^{af}					4075-79-0	4-ACETYLAMINOBIPHENYL
		+	+	+	+	53-96-3	2-ACETYLAMINOFLUORENE
0.395 ^a	NT	+	+	I	l	7008-42-6	ACRONYCINE
5.31 ^{af}	NT	+	+	NT	NT	107-13-1	ACRYLONITRILE
.69E-4 ^{ad}	NT	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	50 - 76 - 0	ACTINOMYCIN D
11.4 ^{af}	72.9 ^{af}	+	+	+	+	3688 - 53 - 7	AF-2
0.00247	NT	÷	\mathbf{NT}	NT	\mathbf{NT}	29611 - 03 - 8	AFLATOXICOL
$0.32 E_{-4^{af}}$	-	+	+	-	-	1162-65-8	AFLATOXIN B1
0.00187 ^a	0.343	+	NT	+	NΤ	•	AFLATOXIN, CRUDE
-	0.741 ^a	-	-	+	B+	309-00-2	ALDRIN
96	-	+	Α		-	57-06-7	ALLYL ISOTHIOCYANATE
123	62.8	+	_	_	+	2835-39-4	ALLYL ISOVALERATE
NT	30.9 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	52207-83-7	ALLYLHYDRAZINE.HCl
NT	25 ^a	NT	NT	+	÷	75104-43-7	3-AMINO-1,4-DIMETHYL-5H-PYRIDO[4,3-b]INDOLE ACETATI
	2070	_	_	+	_	17026-81-2	3-AMINO-4-ETHOXYACETANILIDE
11.8 ^a	30.5 ^a		+			mixture	3-AMINO-9-ETHYLCARBAZOLE MIXTURE
		+ \\!\T	NT	+	+		
NT	15.6 ^a	NT		+	+	68006-83-7	2-AMINO-3-METHYL-9H-PYRIDO-[2,3-b]-INDOLE
5.32	6.81 ^{at}	-	+	+	+	72254-58-1	3-AMINO-1-METHYL-5H-PYRIDO 4,3-b INDOLE ACETATE
34.1 ^a	174	+	+	-	+	82-28-0	1-AMINO-2-METHYLANTHRAQUINONE
3.25ª	5.08ª	+	+	+	+	67730-11-4	2-AMINO-6-METHYLDIPYRIDO[1,2-a:3',2'-d IMIDAZOLE
NΤ	17.5^{a}	NT	NT	+	+	76180-96-6	2-AMINO-3-METHYLIMIDAZO]4,5-f QUINOLINE
3.67	NT	\mathbf{NT}	+	NT	NT	3775 - 55 - 1	2-AMINO-5-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-1,3,4-OXADIAZOLE
0.662	NΤ	NT	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	712-68-5	2-AMINO-5-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-1,3,4-THIADIAZOLE
5.85	7.87	\mathbf{NT}	+	NΤ	+	38514-71-5	2-AMINO-4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)THIAZOLE
\mathbf{NT}	105 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	28754 - 68 - 9	trans-5-AMINO-3]2-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)VINYL-1,2,4-
							OXADIAZOLE
309		+	Α	_	_	119-34-6	4-AMINO-2-NITROPHENOL
NT	9.95	NT	NT	NT	+	2104-09-8	2-AMINO-4-(p-NITROPHENYL)THIAZOLE
44.6	-	A	+	_	-	121-66-4	2-AMINO-5-NITROTHIAZOLE
NT	35.6 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	26148-68-5	2-AMINO-9H-PYRIDO(2,3-b)INDOLE
	755 ^a		-			117-79-3	
101	(1)+)	+		+	+ NT		2-AMINOANTHRAQUINONE
3.7ª	-	+	+	-	NT	97-56-3	0-AMINOAZOTOLUENE
NT	0.993 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	92-67-1	4-AMINODIPHENYL
NT	3.36 ^{ac}	NT	NT	+	+	3693-22-9	2-AMINODIPHENYLENE OXIDE
33.8ª	12 ^a	+	+	+	+	$67730 \cdot 10 \cdot 3$	2-AMINODIPYRIDO¦1,2-a:3′,2′-d IMIDAZOLE
8.75^{a}	24.5^{a}	+	+	+	+	61 - 82 - 5	3-AMINOTRIAZOLE
833	-	+	-	-	-	2432 - 99 - 7	11-AMINOUNDECANOIC ACID
0.532 ^a	NΤ	+	+	NT	NT	10589-74-9	1-AMYL-1-NITROSOUREA
88^{af}		+	+	-	_	$142 \cdot 04 \cdot 1$	ANILINE.HCI
27.8 ^a	935 ^a	+	+	+	+	134-29-2	0-ANISIDINE.HCi
61.8^{a}	158	B+	B+	+	_	140-57-8	ARAMITE
NT	33.6 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	61-94-9	ARECOLINE.HCl
-	9.58	A	A	+	NT	27323-18-8	AROCLOR 1254
1.04 ^a	NT	л +	+	NT	NΤ	11096-82-5	AROCLOR 1260
1.04	39.2 ^a	+	NT	+	+	2465-27-2	AURAMINE-O
0.793 ^d							
	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	115-02-6	AZASERINE
19.2ª	-	+	+		-	103-33-3	AZOBENZENE
0.0302	NT	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	NΤ	25843-45-2	AZOXYMETHANE
51.1^{af}	15.1 ^{af}	+	+	+	+	71-43-2	BENZENE
1.73	NT	B+	B+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	92 - 87 - 5	BENZIDINE
NT	8.99 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	531 - 85 - 1	BENZIDINE.2HCl

						Table 1	. (Continued)
Rat	Mouse	MR	FR	ММ	FM	CAS	Name
0.956	11	B+	B+	+	_	50-32-8	BENZO(a)PYRENE
NT	7.35 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	613-94-5	BENZOYL HYDRAZINE
NT	85.3	NT	NT	_	+	20570-96-1	BENZYLHYDRAZINE.2HCl
	1120	_	_	А	+	2185-92-4	2-BIPHENYLAMINE.HCI
_	138 [#]	-	_	+	+	108-60-1	BIS(2-CHLORO-1-METHYLETHYL) ETHER
NT	8.19 ^a	NT	NT	+	_	111-44-4	BIS-2-CHLOROETHYLETHER
NT	4.62 ^d	NT	NT	NT	+	13483-18-6	BIS-1,2-(CHLOROMETHOXY)ETHANE
NT	3.11 ^d	NT	NT	NT	+	56894-91-8	BIS-1,4-(CHLOROMETHOXY)-p-XYLENE
0.00357	0.182 ^{ae}	+	NT	+	+	542-88-1	BIS-(CHLOROMETHYL) ETHER
3.14	NT	+	NT	NT	NΤ		4-BIS(2-HYDROXYETHYL)AMINO-2-(5-NITRO-2-THIENYL) QUINAZOLINE
NT	34.5 ^a	NT	NT	+	-	23746-34-1	BIS-2-HYDROXYETHYLDITHIOCARBAMIC ACID, POTASSIUM
0.945^{a}	NT	+	+	NT	NT	1937-37-7	C.I. DIRECT BLACK 38
_	208 ^a	Е	Α	+	+	2784-94-3	HC BLUE NO. 1
89.3ª	-	+	+	Е	-	2475-45-8	C.I. DISPERSE BLUE 1
1.18 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	2602-46-2	C.I. DIRECT BLUE 6
9.62 ^a	_	+	+	_	_	7758-01-2	BROMATE, POTASSIUM
2.07	NT	_	+	NT	NΤ	16071-86-6	C.I. DIRECT BROWN 95
NT	28.8ª	NT	NT	+	+	106-99-0	1,3-BUTADIENE
NT	19.2 ^a	NT	NT	+	+		N-N-BUTYL-N-FORMYLHYDRAZINE
0.432 ^a	NT	+	NT	NT	NΤ	3817-11-6	N-BUTYL-N-(4-HYDROXYBUTYL)NITROSAMINE
0.91 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	869-01-2	N-N-BUTYL-N-NITROSOUREA
349 ^a	-	+	י +	B-	B-	25013-16-5	BUTYLATED HYDROXYANISOLE
-	368	- -	-	+	-	128-37-0	BUTYLATED HYDROXYTOLUENE
NT	38.1 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	128-87-0	1,1-DI-N-BUTYLHYDRAZINE
NT	9.03 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	56795-65-4	N-BUTYLHYDRAZINE.HCl
NT	34.5 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	7422-80-2	1,2-DI-N-BUTYLHYDRAZINE.2HCl
13.8	54.5- NT	NT					,
13.8 NT			+ NT	NT	NT	3068-88-0	beta-BUTYROLACTONE CAPTAFOL
NT	89.4 ^a 223 ^a	NT NT	NT NT	+	+	2425-06-1	
NT	225" 155 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	563-41-7	CARBAMYL HYDRAZINE.HCl
				+	+	103-03-7	1-CARBAMYL-2-PHENYLHYDRAZINE
14.1 NT	102 ^a	B+ NT	B+ NT	-	-	63-25-2	CARBARYL CARBAZOLE
0.765 ^{abe}				+	+	86-74-8	CARBAZOLE CARBON TETRACHLORIDE
2.3 ^{af}	114 ^a NIT	+	+	+	+ NUD	56-23-5	
	NT	+	+	NT	NT	60391-92-6	CARBOXYMETHYLNITROSOUREA
1490ª	NT	+	B+	NT	NT	100.00.4	CARRAGEENAN, ACID-DEGRADED
	5230 0.097 ^{ad}	-		A	+	133-90-4	CHLORAMBEN
		+	NΤ	+	+	305-03-3	CHLORAMBUCIL
-	2.15 ^a	-	_	+	+	57-74-9	CHLORDANE
110ª	86.8ª	+	+	+	+	63449-39-8	CHLORINATED PARAFFINS (C12, 60% CHLORINE)
-	6540	-	E	+	Ε	63449-39-8	CHLORINATED PARAFFINS (C23, 43% CHLORINE)
37.6	346	+	NT	-	+	101-79-1	4-CHLORO-4'-AMINODIPHENYLETHER
4.85	NT	+	NΤ	NT	NT	24358-29-0	2-CHLORO-5-(3,5-DIMETHYLPIPERIDINOSULPHONYL) BENZOIC ACID
-	108 ^a	-	NT	+	+	88-73-3	1-CHLORO-2-NITROBENZENE
_	430 ^a	-	NT	+	+	100-00-5	1-CHLORO-4-NITROBENZENE
315	1230	+	-	_	+	5131-60-2	4-CHLORO-m-PHENYLENEDIAMINE
197ª	957 ^a	+	+	+	+	95-83-0	4-CHLORO-o-PHENYLENEDIAMINE
-	134 ^a	-	-	+	+	95-79-4	5-CHLORO-o-TOLUIDINE
_	15.4^{af}	-	-	+	+	3165 - 93 - 3	4-CHLORO-0-TOLUIDINE.HCl
7.47 ^{ac}	10.8°	+	ΝT	+	NT	50892-23-4	4-CHLORO-6-(2,3-XYLIDINO)-2-PYRIMIDINYLTHIO ACETIC ACID
6.49	44.6	+	ΝT	NT	+		4-CHLORO-6-(2,3-XYLIDINO)-2-PYRIMIDINYLTHIO(N- beta-HYDROXYETHYL)ACETAMIDE
-	43.8 ^{af}	-	-	+	+	510 - 15 - 6	CHLOROBENZILATE
119	48^{a}	+	_	+	+	67-66-3	CHLOROFORM
5.5	NT	+	NΤ	NΤ	\mathbf{NT}	107-30-2	CHLOROMETHYL METHYL ETHER
433	161 ^a	+	-	+	+	6959 - 48 - 4	3-(CHLOROMETHYL)PYRIDINE.HCl
8.78	NΤ	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	NΤ	10473 - 70 - 8	1-(4-CHLOROPHENYL)-1-PHENYL-2-PROPYNYL CARBAMAT
NT	12.9	NT	NΤ	-	+	683-50-1	2-CHLOROPROPANAL
NT	5.05	NT	NT	-	+	590 - 21 - 6	1-CHLOROPROPENE
2080 ^a	-	+	+	-	-	1897 - 45 - 6	CHLOROTHALONIL
	NUT	+	+	NT	NT	54749-90-5	CHLOROZOTOCIN
0.0241 ^{ad}	NT	т					
0.0241 ^{ad} 7000 1.09 ^c	ит 2470 ^а NT	+	_	+ NT	+	87-29-6	CINNAMYL ANTHRANILATE CIPROFIBRATE

Table	1. (Conti	nued)
-------	------	-------	-------

Table 1. (Continued)									
Rat	Mouse	MR	FR	MM	FM	CAS	Name		
5.28 ^{ac}	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	518-75-2	CITRININ		
0.5	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	33979-15-6	CLIVORINE		
169	NT	+	NT	NT	NΤ	637-07-0	CLOFIBRATE		
157 ^b	NT	+	NT	NΤ	NT	55600-34-5	CLOPHEN A 30		
470 ^a	_	+	+	Ι	_	102-50-1	m-CRESIDINE		
76.3ª	44.7 ^a	+	+	+	+	120-71-8	p-CRESIDINE		
5.33ª	253ª	+	+	+	+	135-20-6	CUPFERRON		
_	587 ^a	В-	B-	+	+	139-05-9	CYCLAMATE, SODIUM		
NT	23.6	NT	NΤ	+	NT	12663-46-6	CYCLOCHLOROTINE		
1.26 ^{aef}	5.78 ^{ad}	+	+	+	+	50-18-0	CYCLOPHOSPHAMIDE		
1.05 ^a	_	+	+	_	_	16170-75-5	CYTEMBENA		
0.71	0.595 ^{ad}	NT	+	+	+	4342-03-4	DACARBAZINE		
1840 ^b	880ª	-	+	+	+	1596 - 84 - 5	DAMINOZIDE		
22.4	_	+	-	-	-	80-08-0	DAPSONE		
_	24.9 ^a	А	-	+	+	72-54-8	p,p'-DDD		
_	9.45^{a}	-	-	+	+	72-55 -9	p,p'-DDE		
57.2ª	4.55^{af}	+	+	+	+	50-29-3	DDT		
182 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	9011-18-1	DEXTRAN SULFATE SODIUM (DS-M-1)		
19	NT	NT	+	NΤ	NT		N-1-DIACETAMIDOFLUORENE		
NT	19.4 ^a	\mathbf{NT}	NT	+	-	2303-16-4	DIALLATE		
NT	25.7^{a}	NT	NT	+	+	5164 - 11 - 4	1,1-DIALLYLHYDRAZINE		
NΤ	33.8 ^a	NT	NΤ	+	+		1,2-DIALLYLHYDRAZINE.2HCl		
1.71	NT	NT	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	720-69-4	4,6-DIAMINO-2-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-s-TRIAZINE		
72.6 ^a	791 ^a	+	+	+	+	39156 - 41 - 7	2,4-DIAMINOANISOLE SULFATE		
1.43 ^a	26.7	+	+	-	+	95-80-7	2,4-DIAMINOTOLUENE		
4.42	201ª	+	NT	+	+	636 - 23 - 7	2,4-DIAMINOTOLUENE.2HCl		
NT	5.88	NT	NT	+	NT	53-70-3	DIBENZ(a,h)ANTHRACENE		
2.48	NT	+	NT	ΝT	NT	4106-66-5	3-DIBENZOFURANAMINE		
0.106ª	1.28 ^a	+	+	+	+	96-12-8	1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE		
8.37 ^d	9.23 ^{ad}	+	NΤ	+	+	10318-26-0	DIBROMODULCITOL		
1.1 ^a	2.34 ^a	+	+	÷	+	106-93-4	1,2-DIBROMOETHANE		
24.9 ^{ad} 4.28	11.4 ^{ad} NT	+ NT	+	+ NT	+ NT	488-41-5	DIBROMOMANNITOL 1,3-DIBUTYL-1-NITROSOUREA		
4.28 NT	119	NT	+ NT	+	NT	56654-52-5 23950-58-5	3,5-DICHLORO(N-1,1-DIMETHYL-2-PROPYNYL)BENZAMIDE		
-	737ª	-	-	+	+	609-20-1	2,6-DICHLORO, p-PHENYLENEDIAMINE		
3.34ª	0.466 ^a	+	+	, +	+	7572-29-4	DICHLOROACETYLENE		
18.3ª	NT	+	+	NT	NT	91-94-1	3,3'-DICHLOROBENZIDINE		
NT	1.52^{d}	NT	NT	NT	+	110-57-6	trans-1,4-DICHLOROBUTENE-2		
5.49 ^a	61.2 ^a	+	+	+	+	107-06-2	1.2-DICHLOROETHANE		
_	32.9	_	_	+	_	115-32-2	DICOFOL		
-	0.469 ^{ac}	_	-	+	+	60-57-1	DIELDRIN		
1.63 ^c	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	7347-49-1	N,N-DIETHYL-4-(4'- PYRIDYL-1'-OXIDE AZO)ANILINE		
8.85 ^b	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	685-91-6	DIETHYLACETAMIDE		
1660	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	111-46-6	DIETHYLENE GLYCOL		
0.114	0.026^{a}	+	-	+	+	56-53-1	DIETHYLSTILBESTROL		
23.8 ^a	-	+	+	-	-	105-55-5	N,N'-DIETHYLTHIOUREA		
NΤ	571 ^a	\mathbf{NT}	NT	+	+	628-36-4	1,2-DIFORMYLHYDRAZINE		
NT	852 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	21626 - 89 - 1	DIFTALONE		
1.53	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	33389-33-2	1,2-DIHYDRO-2-(5-NITRO-2-THIENYL)QUINAZOLIN-		
			_		_		4(3H)-ONE		
90.6	NT	B+	B+	NΤ	NT	3276-41-3	3.6-DIHYDRO-2-NITROSO-2H-1,2-OXAZINE		
143	90 ^a	B+	B+	+	+	94-58-6	DIHYDROSAFROLE		
716	NT	+	NT		NT	828-00-2	DIMETHOXANE		
0.721	95.9	+	NΤ	+	-	5803-51-0	2,5-DIMETHOXY-4'-AMINOSTILBENE		
742ª	-	+	+	-		91-93-0	3,3'-DIMETHOXYBENZIDINE-4,4'-DIISOCYANATE		
3.31	NΤ	NT	+	NT	NT	60-11-7	N,N-DIMETHYL-4-AMINOAZOBENZENE		
105	- NT	+ NTT	E	 NTT	- \//T	868-85-9	DIMETHYL HYDROGEN PHOSPHITE		
1.39 ^c	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	59-35-8	4,6-DIMETHYL-2-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)PYRIMIDINE		
17	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	551-92-8	1,2-DIMETHYL-5-NITROIMIDAZOLE		
22.4	NΤ	NT	+	NT	NT	55738-54-0	trans-2- (DIMETHYLAMINO)METHYLIMINO -5- 2-(5- NITRO-2-FURYL)VINYL -1,3,4-OXADIAZOLE		
NΤ	0.084	NT	NT	NT	+	57-97-6	7,12-DIMETHYLBENZ(a)ANTHRACENE		
NT	5.37 ^d	NT	NT		+	79-44-7	DIMETHYLCARBAMYL CHLORIDE		
NT	2.09 ^a	NT	NT		+	57-14-7	1,1-DIMETHYLHYDRAZINE		
NT	0.102 ^a	NT	NT		+	306-37-6	1,2-DIMETHYLHYDRAZINE.2HCl		
							(Continued on next page)		

GOLD, SLONE, AND BERNSTEIN

2	6	4
~	~	~

	Tab	le	1.	(Continued)
--	-----	----	----	-------------

Rat	Mouse	MR	\mathbf{FR}	MM	FM	CAS	Name
0.41°	NT	NΤ	+	NT	NT	26049-69-4	2-(2,2-DIMETHYLHYDRAZINO)-4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL) THIAZOLE
0.256^{a}	NT	+	+	NT	NT	4164-28-7	DIMETHYLNITRAMINE
0.0297^{a}	NT	NT	+	NT	NΤ	55557-00-1	DINITROSOHOMOPIPERAZINE
NT	2.01 ^a	NT	NΤ	+	+	140-79-4	DINITROSOPIPERAZINE
126^{af}	594 ^a	+	+	+	+	123-91-1	1,4-DIOXANE
NT	547 ^a	\mathbf{NT}	NT	+	+	68-89-3	DIPYRONE
NΤ	0.151^{af}	NT	ΝT	-	+	8015-30-3	ENOVID
NT	0.282^{h}	NT	NΤ	\mathbf{NT}	+	50 - 28 - 2	ESTRADIOL
-	0.682 ^a		-	+	+	22966-79-6	ESTRADIOL MUSTARD
NT	51.8	NT	NΤ	NT	+	140-67-0	ESTRAGOLE
-	69.3		-	-	+	536 - 33 - 4	ETHIONAMIDE
4.97°	\mathbf{NT}	+	ΝT	NT	NT	13073 - 35 - 3	ETHIONINE
5.24 ^a	NT	+	ΝT	NT	\mathbf{NT}	67-21-0	DL-ETHIONINE
9110		+	-	NT	-	64 - 17 - 5	ETHYL ALCOHOL
NT	2.49 ^a	NΤ	NT	+	+	74920-78-8	N-ETHYL-N-FORMYLHYDRAZINE
NT	2.84	NT	NT	B+	B+	63885 - 23 - 4	N-ETHYL-N'-NITRO-N-NITROSOGUANIDINE
0.904 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	759-73-9	1-ETHYL-1-NITROSOUREA
NΤ	0.283 ^a	NΤ	NΤ	+	+	151 - 56 - 4	ETHYLENE IMINE
7.43 ^{af}	NT	+	+	NT	NT	75-21-8	ETHYLENE OXIDE
10.8 ^a	16.9	+	+	+	-	96-45-7	ETHYLENE THIOUREA
	3050 ^a	-	-	+	+	103-23-1	DI(2-ETHYLHEXYL)ADIPATE
2280 ^a	3400 ^a	+	+	+	+	117-81-7	DI(2-ETHYLHEXYL)PHTHALATE
NT	5.22 ^a	NT	NΤ	+	+	18413-14-4	ETHYLHYDRAZINE.HCl
2.91ª	NΤ	+	+	NΤ	NT	38434-77-4	ETHYLNITROSOCYANAMIDE
NT	15.3 ^a	NT	NΤ	NT	+	842-00-2	4-ETHYLSULPHONYLNAPHTHALENE-1-SULFONAMIDE
1.62	NT	NT	+	NT	NΤ	363-17-7	N-(2-FLUORENYL)-2,2,2-TRIFLUOROACETAMIDE
NŤ	1.09 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	324-93-6	4'-FLUORO-4-AMINODIPHENYL
1.01	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	398-32-3	N-4-(4'-FLUOROBIPHENYL)ACETAMIDE
26.5ª	NT	+	÷	NT	NT	593-70-4	FLUOROCARBON 31
60 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	ΝT	75-88-7	FLUOROCARBON 133a
0.798 ^a	43.9 N/D	+	+	+ • • • • •	-	50-00-0	FORMALDEHYDE
14.4	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	32852-21-4	FORMIC ACID 2-(4-METHYL-2-THIAZOLYL)HYDRAZIDE
3.54 ^a	8.85 ^a	+	+	NΤ	+	3570-75-0	FORMIC ACID 2-4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-2-THIAZOLYL
NT	36 ^a	ידיא	NT			001 01 0	HYDRAZIDE FORMYL HYDRAZINE
3920 ^a		NT +	ייי: +	+	+	624-84-0 4680-78-8	FORMYLHYDRAZINE FD & C GREEN NO. 1
5640	_	в+	B+	-	_	5141-20-8	FD & C GREEN NO. 2
.040 NT	1660 ^b	NT NT	NT	+	_	126-07-8	GRISEOFULVIN
5.96E-4	8.76E-4 ^a	_	+	+	+	mixture	HCDD MIXTURE
1000	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	517-28-2	HEMATOXYLIN
	1.09 ^a	-	-	+	+	76-44-8	HEPTACHLOR
1.65°	46.4 ^a	NT	+	+	+	118-74-1	HEXACHLOROBENZENE
50,5ª	NT	+		NT	NT	87-68-3	HEXACHLOROBUTADIENE
NT	25.3		NT	+	NT	608-73-1	HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
11.2	6.62 ^c	+	NT	+	NT	319-84-6	alpha-1,2,3,4,5,6-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
NT	17.7 ^a	NΤ	NT	+	+	319-85-7	beta-1,2,3,4,5,6-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
	15.4 ^a	_	-	+	+	58-89-9	gamma-1,2,3,4,5,6-HEXACHLOROCYCLOHEXANE
~	319 ^a	-	_	+	+	67 - 72 - 1	HEXACHLOROETHANE
10.2	NT	NT	+	ΝT	NT	531 - 18 - 0	HEXAMETHYLMELAMINE
-	1950		-	+	-	628 - 02 - 4	HEXANAMIDE
NT	2.2 ^a	NT	NΤ	+	+	302-01-2	HYDRAZINE
39,4 ^a	3.35 ^{af}	+	+	+	+	10034 - 93 - 2	HYDRAZINE SULFATE
1.03	11.3	NT	+	NΤ	+	26049 - 71 - 8	2-HYDRAZINO-4-(p-AMINOPHENYL)THIAZOLE
2.83 ^a	16.4	NT	+	NΤ	+	26049 - 68 - 3	2-HYDRAZINO-4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)THIAZOLE
1.97 ^a	10.6	NT	+	NT	+	26049 - 70 - 7	2-HYDRAZINO-4-(p-NITROPHENYL)THIAZOLE
3.55ª	26	+	+	-	+	122-66-7	HYDRAZOBENZENE
NT	9010	NΈ	NT	B+	B+	7722-84-1	HYDROGEN PEROXIDE
$6.9E-4^{a}$	6.23	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	+	53 - 95 - 2	N-HYDROXY-2-ACETYLAMINOFLUORENE
NT	57.8	NT	NT		+	51410 - 44 - 7	1'-HYDROXYESTRAGOLE
16.7	NT	NT	+	NT	ΝT	5036-03-3	1-(2-HYDROXYETHYL)-3-{(5-NITROFURFURYLIDENE)
							AMINO -2-IMIDAZOLIDINONE
1.52	NT	+	NΤ		NT	13743-07-2	1-(2-HYDROXYETHYL)-1-NITROSOUREA
1.87	NT	NT	+	NΤ	NT	33389-36-5	4-(2-HYDROXYETHYLAMINO)-2-(5-NITRO-2-THIENYL)
							QUINAZOLINE

SUMMARY OF CARCINOGENIC POTENCY

Table	1.	(Continued)
-------	----	-------------

Rat	Mouse	MR	FR	MM	FM	CAS	Name
NT	0.314 ^a	NT	NT	+	_	109-84-2	2-HYDROXYETHYLHYDRAZINE
12.1ª	49.1 ^a	+	NΤ	+	+	5208 - 87 - 7	1'-HYDROXYSAFROLE
10.7^{d}	23.7^{d}	_	+	_	+	21416-87-5	ICRF-159
120 ^a	11.2 ^{af}	+	+	+	+	54 - 85 - 3	ISONIAZID
NT	27.4	NT	NT	B+	B+	149-17-7	ISONICOTINIC ACID VANILLYLIDENEHYDRAZIDE
0.739 ^d	5.06 ^d	_	+		+	3778-73-2	ISOPHOSPHAMIDE
2.96	0.705 ^a	_	·+	+	+	143-50-0	KEPONE
0.141 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	303-34-4	LASIOCARPINE
107ª	472 ^a	+	+		+	1335-32-6	LEAD ACETATE, BASIC
NT	55.8	NT	NT	+		24365-47-7	
				+	-		LEUPEPTIN
NT	14.8 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	21884-44-6	LUTEOSKYRIN
NT	14.1	NT	NT	NT	+	24382-04-5	MALONALDEHYDE, SODIUM
157	-	B+	B+	-	-	12427-38-2	MANGANESE ETHYLENEBISTHIOCARBAMATE
735	-	+	-	-	-	108-78-1	MELAMINE
0.0719 ^{ad}	0.137 ^{ad}	+	+	+	+	148 - 82 - 3	MELPHALAN
4.46	NT	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	NT	57-39-6	METEPA
7.65ª	NΤ	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	135-23-9	METHAPYRILENE.HCl
0.9 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	60-56-0	METHIMAZOLE
NT	60.2	NT	NT	_	+	3544 - 23 - 8	3-METHOXY-4-AMINOAZOBENZENE
25.7 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	5834-17-3	2-METHOXY-3-AMINODIBENZOFURAN
9.17°	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	21340-68-1	METHYL CLOFENAPATE
NT	8.03	NT	NT	B+	B+		1-METHYL-1,4-DIHYDRO-7-[2-(5-NITROFURYL)VINYL]-
	01017						4-OXO-1.8-NAPHTHYRIDINE-3-CARBOXYLATE,
							POTASSIUM
1.3^{bd}	NT	+	NT	NT	NΤ	99-80-9	N-METHYL-N,4-DINITROSOANILINE
NT	0.745^{af}	NT	NT				
				+	+	758-17-8	N-METHYL-N-FORMYLHYDRAZINE
NT	31.8 N/D	NT	NT	+ N/TD	NT	66-27-3	METHYL METHANESULFONATE
0.523ª	NΤ	+	+	NT	NT	70-25-7	N-METHYL-N'-NITRO-N-NITROSOGUANIDINE
48.8 ^a	1.34 ^a	+	+	+	+	129 - 15 - 7	2-METHYL-1-NITROANTHRAQUINONE
5.34	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	21638-36-8	4-METHYL-1-[(5-NITROFURFURYLIDENE)AMINO]-2-
							IMIDAZOLIDINONE
0.468ª	NT	+	+	NT	NT	16699-10-8	4-(4-N-METHYL-N-NITROSAMINOSTYRYL)QUINOLINE
3.23 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	63412-06-6	N-METHYL-N-NITROSOBENZAMIDE
0.633 ^{ad}	NT	+	+	NT	NT		N-(N-METHYL-N-NITROSOCARBAMOYL)-L-ORNITHINE
20.4	NT	B+	B+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	14026-03-0	R(-)-2-METHYL-N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE
13.2	NT	B+	B+	NT	NΤ	36702-44-0	S(+)-2-METHYL-N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE
0.202 ^a	NT	_	+	NT	NT	56 - 49 - 5	3-METHYLCHOLANTHRENE
9.09 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NΤ	101-14-4	4,4'-METHYLENE-BIS(2-CHLOROANILINE)
_	66.6	_	NT		+	64049-29-2	4,4'-METHYLENE-BIS(2-CHLOROANILINE).2HCl
6.91 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	838-88-0	4,4'-METHYLENE-BIS(2-METHYLANILINE)
598	817 ^a	Å	+	+	+	75-09-2	METHYLENE CHLORIDE
16.4 ^a	207	+	+	_	+	101-61-1	4,4'-METHYLENEBIS(N,N-DIMETHYL)BENZENAMINE
10.4 12.5 ^a	22.3 ^a						
		+ NT	+ NT	+	+	13552-44-8	4,4'-METHYLENEDIANILINE.2HCl
NT	4.58 ^a	NT		+	+	60-34-4	METHYLHYDRAZINE
NT	2.51 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	302-15-8	METHYLHYDRAZINE SULFATE
NT	18	NΤ	NT	+	-		(N-6)-(METHYLNITROSO)ADENINE
NT	15.8ª	NT	NT	+	+		(N-6)-(METHYLNITROSO)ADENOSINE
0.48	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	33868 - 17 - 6	METHYLNITROSOCYANAMIDE
431 ^a	347 ^a	+	+	+	+	443-48-1	METRONIDAZOLE
4.87^{a}	53ª	+	+	+	+	90-94-8	MICHLER'S KETONE
-	1.1 ^a	-	NT	+	+	2385 - 85 - 5	MIREX
1.46	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	39801-14-4	MIREX, PHOTO-
.81E-4 ^{ad}	NΤ	+	+	NT	NT	50-07-7	MITOMYCIN-C
NT	4.48 ^a	NΤ	NT	+	+	1068-57-1	MONOACETYL HYDRAZINE
0.79 ^a	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	315-22-0	MONOCROTALINE
5.03	NT	NТ	+	NT	NT	58139-48-3	4-MORPHOLINO-2-(5-NITRO-2-THIENYL)QUINAZOLINE
6.33	NT	NT	+	NT	NΤ	3031-51-4	L-5-MORPHOLINOMETHYL-3-[(5-NITROFURFURYLIDENE
							AMINO-2-OXAZOLIDINONE.HCl
50.8	66.6 ^a	-	+	+	+	2243-62-1	1,5-NAPHTHALENEDIAMINE
61.6	20.5 ^a	B-	+	B+	+	91-59-8	2-NAPHTHYLAMINE
NT	145 ^a	NT	NΤ	+	+	553-53-7	NICOTINIC ACID HYDRAZIDE
	758	_	+	+	_	139-94-6	NITHIAZIDE
131				+	+	139-13-9	NITRILOTRIACETIC ACID
131 1450 ^a	1470 ^a	+	+	т	1	100-10-0	
	1470 ^a _	+ +	++	т —	_	18662-53-8	NITRILOTRIACETIC ACID, TRISODIUM SALT,

(Continued on next page)

GOLD, SLONE, AND BERNSTEIN

Rat	Mouse	MR	FR	MM	FM	CAS	Name
124 ^a	_	+	t	-	_	7632-00-0	NITRITE, SODIUM
-	2270	_	-	+	_	1777-84-0	3-NITRO-p-ACETOPHENETIDE
28.1^{a}	3720	+	+	_	+	99-59-2	5-NITRO-0-ANISIDINE
4.64 ^{ac}	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	59-87-0	5-NITRO-2-FURALDEHYDE SEMICARBAZONE
11.9 ^a	20.3 ^{ac}	+	+	+	+	75198-31-1	3-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-IMIDAZO(1,2-alpha)PYRIDINE
8.61	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	2122-86-3	5-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-1,3,4-OXADIAZOLE-2-OL
59.6 ^b		NT		NT	NT		
59.6	NT	IN I	ł	IN I	IN I	36133-88-7	N-//3-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-1,2,4-OXADIAZOLE-5-YL/-
0.07							METHYL¦ACETAMIDE
8.84	6.74	NT	ł	NT	+	2578-75-8	N-[5-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-1,3,4-THIADIAZOL-2-YL]
							ACETAMIDE
7.68	NT	NT	+	NΤ	\mathbf{NT}	53757 - 28 - 1	4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)/THIAZOLE
10.5^{a}	NT	NΤ	+	NΤ	\mathbf{NT}	531 - 82 - 8	N-[4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-2-THIAZOLYL ^J ACETAMIDE
1.31 ^{af}	7.72^{a}	+	+	+	+	24554 - 26 - 5	N-[4-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-2-THIAZOLYL FORMAMIDE
14.1	NT	NT	ł	NT	NT	51325-35-0	N,N'-[6-(5-NITRO-2-FURYL)-s-TRIAZINE-2,4-DIYL]
					• · · ·		BISACETAMIDE
8.66	0.346	B+	B+	B+	B+	4812-22-0	3-NITRO-3-HEXENE
	614						
-				-	+	5307-14-2	2-NITRO-p-PHENYLENEDIAMINE
-	242 ^a	—	-	+	+	99-55-8	5-NITRO-0-TOLUIDINE
5.98 ^a	45.3	+	+	-	+	602 - 87 - 9	5-NITROACENAPHTHENE
-	354 ^a	-	-	+	+	94-52-0	6-NITROBENZIMIDAZOLE
420	64.2^{a}	I	+	+	+	1836-75-5	NITROFEN
5.26	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	555 - 84 - 0	1-[(5-NITROFURFURYLIDENE)AMINO]-2-IMIDAZOLIDINONE
0.0114 ^d	NT	+	NT	NТ	\mathbf{NT}	51-75-2	NITROGEN MUSTARD
0.764^{d}	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	126-85-2	NITROGEN MUSTARD N-OXIDE
9.55 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	607-35-2	8-NITROQUINOLINE
0.364	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	38777-13-8	NITROSO-BAYGON
0.707 ^a	NT	+		NΤ	NT		
			+				N-NITROSO-BIS-(4,4,4-TRIFLUORO-N-BUTYL)AMINE
0.0932 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	16813-36-8	1-NITROSO-5,6-DIHYDROURACIL
0.0535	NT	NT	+	NT	NΤ	89911-79-5	N-NITROSO-2,3-DIHYDROXYPROPYL-2-
							HYDROXYPROPYLAMINE
0.0352	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	92177 - 50 - 9	NITROSO-2,3-DIHYDROXYPROPYL-2-OXOPROPYLAMINE
5.98	NΤ	NT	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	89911-78-4	N-NITROSO-2,3-DIHYDROXYPROPYLETHANOLAMINE
9.66	NT	NT	+	NT	NΤ	61034-40-0	1-NITROSO-3.5-DIMETHYL-4-BENZOYLPIPERAZINE
1.02	NT	NΤ	+	NT	NT	75896-33-2	N-NITROSO-(2-HYDROXYPROPYL)-(2-HYDROXYETHYL)AMI
7.65	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	56222-35-6	N-NITROSO-3-HYDROXYPYRROLIDINE
4.73	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	760-60-1	N-NITROSO-N-ISOBUTYLUREA
0.487ª	NT	+		NT	NT		
	NT		+ N/T			55090-44-3	N-NITROSO-N-METHYL-N-DODECYLAMINE
0.255		+	NT	NT	NT	937-25-7	N-NITROSO-N-METHYL-4-FLUOROANILINE
.00788 ^a	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	13256 - 11 - 6	NITROSO-N-METHYL-N-(2-PHENYL)ETHYLAMINE
29.4°	NT	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	NT	75881-20-8	N-NITROSO-N-METHYL-N-TETRADECYLAMINE
1.26	NT	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	NT	75881-22-0	N-NITROSO-N-METHYLDECYLAMINE
1.8	NT	NT	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	92177 - 49 - 6	NITROSO-2-OXOPROPYLETHANOLAMINE
0.166 ^d	NT	+	NT	NΤ	NT	15973 - 99 - 6	DI(N-NITROSO)-PERHYDROPYRIMIDINE
0.0374^{a}	NΤ	NT	+	\mathbf{NT}	NΤ	55556-92-8	NITROSO-1,2,3,6-TETRAHYDROPYRIDINE
2.52	NT	+	NΤ	NT	NT	82018-90-4	N-NITROSO(2,2,2-TRIFLUOROETHYL)ETHYLAMINE
3.31 ^d	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	29929-77-9	N-NITROSO-2,2,4-TRIMETHYL-1,2-DIHYDROQUINOLINE
							POLYMER
0.151	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	75881-18-4	1-NITROSO-3,4,5-TRIMETHYLPIPERAZINE
0.825	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	88208-16-6	N-NITROSOALLYL-2,3-DIHYDROXYPROPYLAMINE
0.877	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	91308-70-2	N-NITROSOALLYL-2-HYDROXYPROPYLAMINE
0.335	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	91308-71-3	N-NITROSOALLYL-2-OXOPROPYLAMINE
0.491	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	91308-69-9	N-NITROSOALLYLETHANOLAMINE
1.01	NΤ	NT	+	NT	NT		NITROSOAMYLURETHAN
11 ^a	NT	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	1133-64-8	NITROSOANABASINE
1.13	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	51542-33-7	N-NITROSOBENZTHIAZURON
0.813 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	53609-64-6	N-NITROSOBIS(2-HYDROXYPROPYL)AMINE
0.010 0.232 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	60599-38-4	N-NITROSOBIS(2-0X0PROPYL)AMINE
0.232	1.09		NT	+	NT	924-16-3	NITROSODIBUTYLAMINE
		+					
1.9 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	1116-54-7	N-NITROSODIETHANOLAMINE
	NT	+	+	NT	NT	55-18-5	N-NITROSODIETHYLAMINE
.00787 ^{af}		+	+	+	+	62-75-9	N-NITROSODIMETHYLAMINE
0.00787 ^{af} 0.0587 ^{af}	0.153^{a}	- T					
.00787 ^{af}	0.153^{a}	+	+	-	-	86-30-6	N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE
0.00787 ^{af} 0.0587 ^{af}					-	86-30-6 156-10-5	N-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE p-NITROSODIPHENYLAMINE
0.00787 ^{af} 0.0587 ^{af} 116 ^a	-	+	+	-			

266

SUMMARY OF CARCINOGENIC POTENCY

Rat	Mouse	MR	\mathbf{FR}	MM	FM	CAS	Name
	N VIII)			
95.2	NT	+	NT	NT	NΤ	17608-59-2	N-NITROSOEPHEDRINE
0.248	NT	NT	+	\mathbf{NT}	NΤ	614 - 95 - 9	NITROSOETHYLURETHAN
0.0292 ^a	NT	+	NΤ	\mathbf{NT}	NT	$20917 \cdot 49 \cdot 1$	NITROSOHEPTAMETHYLENEIMINE
NT	0.313 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	932-83-2	N-NITROSOHEXAMETHYLENEIMINE
43.8 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	42579 - 28 - 2	1-NITROSOHYDANTOIN
0.646	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	86451-37-8	N-NITROSOMETHYL-2,3-DIHYDROXYPROPYLAMINE
0.0442ª	NТ	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	NΤ	75411-83-5	N-NITROSOMETHYL-2-HYDROXYPROPYLAMINE
0.214	NT	NT	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	16219 - 98 - 0	2-NITROSOMETHYLAMINOPYRIDINE
0.0343 ^{af}	NT	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	614-00-6	NITROSOMETHYLANILINE
2.37	NT	+	NT	NT	\mathbf{NT}	68107-26-6	NITROSOMETHYLUNDECYLAMINE
0.573^{a}	NT	+	+	NT	\mathbf{NT}	78246 - 24 - 9	N'-NITROSONORNICOTINE-1-N-OXIDE
5.51 ^{ab}	NT	+	+	\mathbf{NT}	NT	5632 - 47 - 3	N-NITROSOPIPERAZINE
1.57	1.3	B+	B+	+	NT	100-75-4	N-NITROSOPIPERIDINE
1.54^{ac}	NΤ	+	+	NT	NΤ	930-55-2	N-NITROSOPYRROLIDINE
4.15 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	$26541 \cdot 51 \cdot 5$	N-NITROSOTHIOMORPHOLINE
50.7	NT	+	NΤ	NT	NT	611 - 23 - 4	0-NITROSOTOLUENE
1.94	1.34^{b}	B+	B+	NT	+	8015-12-1	NORLESTRIN
6.17	NT	NT	+	NT	NT	3096-50-2	N-(9-OXO-2-FLUORENYL)ACETAMIDE
6.65 ^a	19.7^{a}	+	+	+	+	101-80-4	4,4'-OXYDIANILINE
_	39.8 ^a	A	_	+	+	76-01-7	PENTACHLOROETHANE
	71.1	_	_	+	_	82-68-8	PENTACHLORONITROBENZENE
NT	5.87	NΤ	NT	_	+	1119-68-2	n-PENTYLHYDRAZINE.HCl
0.662 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	, NT	60102-37-6	PETASITENINE
741 ^a	1100 ^{af}	+	+	+	+	62-44-2	PHENACETIN
1230	NT	+	NT	, NT	NT	60-80-0	PHENAZONE
303 ^a	71.1	+	+	_	+	136-40-3	PHENAZOPYRIDINE.HCl
0.523	0.211ª	_	+	+	+	3546-10-9	PHENESTERIN
-	4.18 ^a	_	· _	+	+	50-06-6	PHENOBARBITAL
74.3 ^a	34.6 ^a	+	+	+	+	57-30-7	PHENOBARBITAL, SODIUM
0.71 ^{ad}	4.95 ^{ad}	+	+	+	+	63-92-3	PHENOXYBENZAMINE.HCI
2.31	NT	, B+	B+	NT	NT	7227-91-0	1-PHENYL-3,3-DIMETHYLTRIAZENE
17.7 ^a	_				-	842-07-9	
248	611 ^a	++	+ NT	-			1-PHENYLAZO-2-NAPHTHOL
NT	14.6	NT	NT	+	+	615 - 28 - 1 156 - 51 - 4	o-PHENYLENEDIAMINE.2HCl PHENYLETHYLHYDRAZINE SULFATE
29.1 ^a	14.0 NT	+	:•1 +	- NT	+ NT	122-60-1	
NT	70.6 ^a	N'T	ŇΤ				PHENYLGLYCIDYL ETHER
414			NT	+	+ _	59-88-1	PHENYLHYDRAZINE.HCI
232	_	+	NT			132-27-4	0-PHENYLPHENATE, SODIUM
2.52 NT	2.21 ^d	+ NT	NT	-	-	90-43-7	0-PHENYLPHENOL
				-	+	17673-25-5	PHORBOL PIDEPRONUL OLI DOVIDE
	62.2	-	_	+	-	120-62-7	PIPERONYL SULFOXIDE
0.148 ^a		+	+	-	-	1955-45-9	PIVALOLACTONE
$\frac{0.148^{-}}{4.01^{d}}$	0.381 ^a	+	+	+	+	67774-32-7	POLYBROMINATED BIPHENYL MIXTURE
0.284 ^{ad}	NT	+	NT	NT	NΤ	671-16-9	PROCARBAZINE
	0.194 ^{ad}	+	+	+	+	366-70-1	PROCARBAZINE.HCI
3.64 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	1120-71-4	PROPANE SULTONE
1.34 ^a	1.16 ^a	NT	+	+	+	57-57-8	beta-PROPIOLACTONE
NT	8.74 ^a	NT	NT	+	+	77337-54-3	N-N'-PROPYL-N-FORMYLHYDRAZINE
0.919^{a}	NT	+	NT	NT	NT	13010-07-6	N-PROPYL-N'-NITRO-N-NITROSOGUANIDINE
35.1ª NT	732 ^a	+	+ \\T	+	+	75-56-9	1.2-PROPYLENE OXIDE
	41.4 ^a	NΤ	NT	+ n	+	56795-66-5	PROPYLHYDRAZINE.HCl
10.3 ^a	409 NUU	+	+	B+	B+	51-52-5	PROPYLTHIOURACIL
24500	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	2611-82-7	SX PURPLE
175 ^a 5.12 ^a	NT	+	+	NT	NT	59-33-6	PYRILAMINE MALEATE
		+	+	-	-	117-39-5	QUERCETIN
106 233 ^a	-	-	+	-	-	105-11-3	p-QUINONE DIOXIME
	659 ^a	+	+	+	+	3761-53-3	D & C RED NO. 5
104 225 ^{af}	NT	+	A	-	- NIT	5160-02-1	D & C RED NO. 9
		+	+	NT	NT	3564-09-8	FD & C RED NO. 1
632ª	NT	B+	B+	NT	NT	915-67-3	FD & C RED NO. 2
6130 ^a	- 0 = 0 a	B+	B+	В-	B–	4548-53-2	FD & C RED NO. 4
0.306	3.58ª	+	_	+	+	50-55-5	RESERPINE
-	33.6	-	-	_	+	13292-46-1	RIFAMPICIN
- 01.08	67.8ª	-	-	+	+	26308-28-1	RIPAZEPAM
21.2ª 1110 ^a f	28.8 ^a	+	+	+	÷	569-61-9	p-ROSANILINE.HCI
1110-	-	+	-	-	_	128-44-9	SACCHARIN, SODIUM

267

268

Table 1. (Continued)

RatMouseMRFRMMFMCASName 340^a 27^a +B+++94-59-7SAFROLE 44.6 NTNT+NTNT18559-94-9SALBUTAMOLNT1.49NTNT+-5456-28-0SELENIUM DIETHYLDITHIOCARBAN 6.14^a 46.8++-+7446-34-6SELENIUM SULFIDE 1.7^d NT+NTNT2318-18-5SENKIRKINE 0.0825^{af} 0.689^a +B+NT+10048-13-2STERIGMATOCYSTIN 0.776^a 0.193^{ad} ++++18883-66-4STREPTOZOTOCINNT 0.644^a NTNT++8001-50-1STROBANE 63^a NT++NT96-09-3STYRENE OXIDE 17.2^a 27.3^a +++95-06-7SULFALLATE 55.6^b NTNT+NT77-46-34.4'-SULFONYLBISACETANILIDE 1.91^d NT+NTNT22571-95-5SYMPHYTINE 33.2 36.3 +AI+ $542-75-6$ TELONE II 410 NTNT+NTNT23031-25-6TERBUTALINE	IATE
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IATE
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	IATE
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1.91 ^d N′Г + NT NT NT 22571-95-5 SYMPHYTINE 33.2 36.3 + A I + 542-75-6 TELONE II	
33.2 36.3 + A I + 542-75-6 TELONE II	
395 288 + NT + - 7411-49-6 $3,3',4,4'$ -TETRAAMINOBIPHENYL.4HC	
$6.67E-6^{af}$ 8.68E-5 ^a + + + + 1746-01-6 2,3,7,8-TETRACHLORODIBENZO-p-DIC	
- 175 ^a + + 630-20-6 1,1,1,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	
– 35.4 ^a – – + + 79-34-5 1,1.2,2-TETRACHLOROETHANE	
90.8 75.6 ^a + A + + 127-18-4 TETRACHLOROETHYLENE	
– 228 – A + A 961-11-5 TETRACHLORVINPHOS	
– 86.3 – NT + – 63886-77-1 TETRAFLUORO-m-PHENYLENEDIAM	
24.3 NT B+ B+ NT NT 40548-68-3 TETRAHYDRO-2-NITROSO-2H-1,2-OX.	AZINE
$0.122^{ad} \qquad 0.21^{ad} \qquad + \qquad + \qquad + \qquad 52-24-4 \qquad \text{THIO-TEPA}$	
NT 5.36 ^a NT NT + + 62-55-5 THIOACETAMIDE 5.52 ^a 32.7 ^a + + + + 139-65-1 4.4'-THIODIANILINE	
$5.52^{a} 32.7^{a} + + + + 139-65-1 4,4'-\text{THIODIANILINE}$ $2.1^{d} \text{NT} A + 1 I 64039-27-6 \text{beta-THIOGUANINE DEOXYRIBOSIDE}$	
NT 48.6° NT NT + + 141-90-2 THIOURACIL	
93.5^{a} - + - NT - 62-56-6 THIOUREA	
3960 NT B+ B+ NT NT 88-19-7 0-TOLUENESULFONAMIDE	
- 1440 ^b - NT + - 638-03-9 m-TOLUIDINE.HCl	
23.3 ^a 646 ^a + + + + 636-21-5 o-TOLUIDINE.HCl	
– 49.1 ^a – NT + + 540-23-8 p-TOLUIDINE.HCl	
-206 $ +$ $-622-51-5$ p-TOLYLUREA	
- 4.08 ^a A A + + 8001-35-2 TOXAPHENE	
0.00504 ^d NT + NT NT NT 68-76-8 TRENIMON – 259 – NT + – 634-93-5 2.4.6-TRICHLOROANILINE	
– 259 – NT + – 634-93-5 2,4,6-TRICHLOROANILINE – 47.6° – – + + 79-00-5 1,1,2-TRICHLOROETHANE	
$- 421^{a} + + 79-01-6$ TRICHLOROETHYLENE	
$405 856^{a} + - + 88.06-2 2.4.6-TRICHLOROPHENOL$	
NT 100 ^a NT NT + + 102-71-6 TRIETHANOLAMINE	
6.79 9.98 NT + NT + 42011-48-3 2,2,2-TRIFLUORO-N-[4-(5-NITRO-2-FUI	RYL)-2-
THIAZOLYLACETAMIDE	
– 330 – – – + 1582-09-8 TRIFLURALIN	
20.4^{a} 6.13 + + - + 137-17-7 2.4,5-TRIMETHYLANILINE	
98.5^{b} 40 ^a + NT + + 21436-97-5 2,4,5-TRIMETHYLANILINE.HCl	
5.17 19.3 ^a + NT + + 6334-11-8 2,4,6-TRIMETHYLANILINE.HC - 335 A + 512-56-1 TRIMETHYLPHOSPHATE	
- 335 A + 512-56-1 TRIMETHYLPHOSPHATE 25.8 + 2489-77-2 TRIMETHYLTHIOUREA	
NT 3.44^{d} NT NT NT + $38571-73-2$ TRIMETHININGOREA	ANE
1.57^{a} 80.1 ^a + + + + $126-72-7$ TRIS(2.3-DIBROMOPROPYL)PHOSPH.	
41.3 12.5 ^a B+ B+ + + 51-79-6 URETHANE	
132 ^a NT + + NT NT 108-05-4 VINYL ACETATE	
17.9 ^a NT + + NT NT 593-60-2 VINYL BROMIDE	
3.69^{af} 10.6 ^a + + + + 75-01-4 VINYL CHLORIDE	
-23.6^{a} $ +$ $+$ $75-35-4$ VINYLIDENE CHLORIDE	
418 ^a – – + – – 1694-09-3 FD & C VIOLET NO. 1 NT 39.6 ^b NT NT NT + 50-14-6 VITAMIN D2	
NT 39.6 ^b NT NT NT + 50-14-6 VITAMIN D2 – 12.4 – NT – + 21436-96-4 2,4-XYLIDINE.HCl	
152 552^{a} + NT + + $51786-53-9$ 2.5-XYLIDINE.HCl	
380 1020 + - + 2832-40-8 C.I. DISPERSE YELLOW 3	
- 10900 + - 128-66-5 C.I. VAT YELLOW 4	
– 22 ^a – – + + 17924-92-4 ZEARALENONE	
25.8 ^a – + B+ – E 137-30-4 ZINC DIMETHYLDITHIOCARBAMAT	
255 – B+ B+ – – 12122-67-7 ZINC ETHYLENEBISTHIOCARBAMA	<u>re</u>

Table 1. (Continued)

TD₅₀ value:

For each species, the reported TD_{50} value is the most potent in the CPDB from among sites that a published author evaluated as positive. This TD_{50} was selected from those with a statistically significant dose response effect (two-tailed p < 0.1). If no site evaluated as positive was significant, then the reported TD_{50} was the most potent among those positive sites, and the footnote "b" indicates that $p \ge 0.1$.

Abbreviations:

- CAS = Chemical Abstracts Service registry number
- NT = No Test in the CPDB in this group
- + = The CPDB contains at least one experiment in which the compound was evaluated as a carcinogen by the published author. For NCI/NTP tests, the evaluation was "clear evidence of carcinogenicity."
 I = No tests in the CPDB in this sex-species group were evaluated as positive; however, the NCI/NTP test was evaluated as
 - = No tests in the CPDB in this sex-species group were evaluated as positive; however, the NCI/NTP test was evaluated as inadequate.
- A = The chemical was evaluated as a carcinogen in at least one test in the CPDB, but not in this sex-species group. However, the NCI evaluated the compound in this sex-species group as "associated with carcinogenicity" in their test, or the NTP evaluated it as having "some evidence of carcinogenicity."
- E = The chemical was evaluated as a carcinogen in at least one test in the CPDB, but not in this sex-species group. The only evidence for carcinogenicity in the CPDB for this sex-species group was an "equivocal" evaluation by the NTP.
- = The chemical was evaluated as a carcinogen in at least one test in the CPDB but all tests in this group were negative.
- B+ = In the only positive test in the sex-species, results were reported only for males and females combined.
- B- = In the only test in the sex-species, results were reported only for males and females combined, and the test was negative.

Footnotes:

- a ____ = The CPDB contains more than one positive test in the species.
- b = The reported TD_{50} is not statistically significant (i.e. $p \ge 0.1$), and all positively evaluated results in the species are not significant.
- c = Only an upper bound and no TD₅₀ could be estimated because all dosed animals had the tumor of interest and only summary data were available. The reported value is the 99% upper confidence limit.
- d = All positive results in the CPDB are from tests in which the compound was administered by either intraperitoneal or intravenous injection.
- e = The reported TD_{50} is from a test in which the compound was administered by intraperitoneal or intravenous injection; however, the CPDB also contains a positive test with a less potent TD_{50} value from a test with an oral or inhalation route.
- f = TD_{50} values from different significant, positive experiments in this species vary by more than ten-fold from one another. The most potent TD_{50} value is reported here.

chemicals among the 955 chemicals in the CPDB that were tested in rats or mice. The table provides information for each substance on the most potent TD_{50} value in each species, the strength of evidence for carcinogenicity in each sex-species group, and the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry number. Forty-six percent of these chemicals were tested in both rats and mice, 35% in rats only, and 19% in mice only. The positivity results for the 492 compounds can be summarized as follows: 342 are positive in rats, and 278 are positive in mice. Among the 228 carcinogens tested in both rats and mice, 100 (44%) are positive in only one species. One hundred sixty-two chemicals were tested in all four sex-species groups, and 52 (32%) of these are positive in all four. Only 133 (27%) of the carcinogens listed in Table 1 were tested in the NCI/NTP Bioassay Program. A detailed analysis of positivity and target sites in the CPDB can be found in Gold et al. (7).

The distribution of TD_{50} values in Table 1 is summarized in Figure 1. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin (86.8 ng/kg/day) and C.I. Vat Yellow 4 (10.9 g/kg/day) represent the minimum and maximum TD₅₀ values for mice. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-pdioxin (6.67 ng/kg/day) and SX Purple (24.5 g/kg/ day) represent the minimum and maximum values for rats. Among the 128 chemicals in Table 1 that are positive in both species, the TD₅₀ in rats is more potent than the value in mice for 88, and less potent for 40. Species differences in potency values (in mg/kg/day) are within a factor of 10 for 95 of the 128 compounds (74%). A similar proportion has been reported for chemicals administered in the diet, using the lowest TD_{50} per species regardless of the author's evaluation of carcinogenicity or statistical significance (12).

Discussion Summary Measures of Carcinogenic Potency

In Table 1 we selected the TD_{50} value from one case, the most potent, to represent a chemical within a species. When more than one experiment fulfilled the selection criteria, other summary measures of TD_{50} could have been used that take into account all positive results for a compound. We evaluated three summary measures: the harmonic mean, the geometric mean, and the arithmetic mean to determine how different our results would have been had we used one of these measures. These measures differ according to the weight given outlying results. If we define, T_i , i = 1,n, to be the *n* values of TD_{50} that fulfill the selection criteria for a chemical, then the harmonic mean, T_H , is defined as

$$T_H = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{T_i}};$$

the geometric mean, $T_{\rm G}$, is defined as

$$T_G = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n T_i\right)^{\frac{1}{n}};$$

and the arithmetic mean, T_A , is defined as

$$T_A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_i \; .$$



FIGURE 1. Frequency distribution of TD₅₀ in the most potent site for chemicals evaluated as carcinogens in rats or mice by the author of at least one experiment.

Means based on these measures can be ordered in terms of their relationship to our most potent site, $T_{\rm P}$:

$$T_{\rm P} \le T_{\rm H} \le T_{\rm G} \le T_{\rm A}$$

Table 2 shows the distribution of values of the ratios $T_{\rm H}/T_{\rm P}$, $T_{\rm G}/T_{\rm P}$, and $T_{\rm A}/T_{\rm P}$ for rats and mice for chemicals that have more than one positive test in a species; Table 2 therefore includes one-half of the rat carcinogens in Table 1 and two-thirds of those in mice. The remaining chemicals in Table 1 have only one positive test. Those compounds for which we obtained a 10-fold or greater discrepancy in these ratios are listed in the footnote to Table 2. We have selected $T_{\rm P}$ for presentation in Table 1. One could have chosen the harmonic or other forms of the mean for presentation since they take the results of all positive experiments into account. For various purposes one may wish to use different summary measures; however, as we show in Table 2, it makes little difference whether the choice is the most potent site or a mean. The TD₅₀ values used to compute summary estimates can be found in Gold et al. (4-6).

Chemicals with Widely Varying TD₅₀ Estimates

To further indicate particular substances for which TD_{50} values from two or more experiments differ greatly, we denote in Table 1 those chemicals for which the minimum estimate differs from the maximum estimate by more than a factor of 10 (see footnote f to Table 1). There are 18 such substances in rats and 12 in mice. For these carcinogens, any summary measure of

Table 2. Ratio of harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic means to most potent TD_{50} for chemicals positive in more than one experiment, by species.

Ratio of mean TD ₅₀ to most potent	Rats N=163					
	H %	G %	A %	H	G %	A %
1-1.99	88	74	61	92	78	72
2 - 2.99	9	15	19	7	14	12
3-3.99	2	3	7	0	7	6
4 - 9.99	1	6	9	. 1	1	8
≥10	0	2ª	-4 ^a	0	0	2ª
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Legend:

Chemicals with values of ratio greater than 10. Those followed by "" were in the extreme category for both arithmetic and geometric means.

Rats:

AF-2; Aflatoxin B1; Aniline.HCl; Nitrosomethylaniline (*); Sodium Saccharin; TCDD (*); Vinyl Chloride (*)

Mice:

Benzene; 4-Chloro-o-Toluidine.HCl; Phenacetin

potency masks the variation across experiments. While noting that the number of such cases is small, we have investigated possible reasons for these widely differing potency estimates for a chemical within a species. We first compared the frequency of estimates varying more than 10-fold to the frequency observed for near-replicate experiments (Table 3). In an earlier paper (6), we examined reproducibility of results in 66 comparisons consisting of 2 or more bioassays of the same chemical administered by the same route and using the same sex and strain of rat or mouse. Here we update this analysis to include 35 additional comparisons from our more recent plots of the CPDB (5,6). Overall, there was good reproducibility of positivity: among the 101 comparisons, 51 were concordant and positive in all of the near-replicate tests, 35 were concordant and negative, and 15 were discordant. For each species, we took the ratio of the least potent TD_{50} and the most potent TD_{50} in each concordant-positive near-replicate case, and we compared the distribution of these ratios to the distribution of all chemicals having more than one positive experiment, i.e., those chemicals reported in Table 2. (The chemicals with near-replicate tests are also included in the larger distribution, but the TD_{50} values for those substances may be from different experiments.) Table 3 indicates that the distribution of the ratio of least to most potent TD_{50} values for all chemicals is similar to that for the near-replicate comparisons. This similarity suggests that the discrepant results for a chemical within a species are not an artifact of combining across strains, routes of administration, and sexes.

We also compared the extreme cases (TD₅₀ values discrepant by > 10-fold) to all other chemicals in Table 1 with more than one positive experiment, in terms of how often they were tested. In mice, 92% of the extreme cases were tested more than twice compared to 33% of all other cases; in rats, 89% of extremes were tested more than twice compared to 42% of others. There are similar differences in the number of positive tests for the two groups. Thus, when there are extreme differences between TD₅₀ values from different tests of the same chemical, the selection of the least and most potent

Table 3. Ratio of least to most potent TD_{50} from different positive experiments for near-replicate comparisons and all chemicals with more than one positive experiment, by species.

Ratio of least potent TD ₅₀ to most potent	Rats				Mice			
	Near-replicate tests		All chemicals		Near-replicate tests		Ali chemicals	
	N	(%)	Ν	(%)	N	(%)	N	(%)
1-1.99	15	(55)	76	(46)	13	(54)	90	(51)
2-2.99	4	(15)	21	(13)	6	(25)	35	(20)
3-3.99	3	(11)	19	(12)	. 0	(0)	11	(6)
4 - 9.99	2	(8)	29	(18)	4	(17)	28	(16)
≥10	3	(11)	18	(11)	1	(4)	12	(7)
Total	27	(100)	163	(100)	24	(100)	176	(100)

 $H = Ratio of harmonic mean (T_H) to most potent TD₅₀ (T_P)$

G = Ratio of geometric mean (T_G) to most potent TD_{50} (T_P)

A = Ratio of arithmetic mean (T_A) to most potent TD_{50} (T_P)

values was made from among a larger number of $\ensuremath{\text{TD}_{50}}\xspace$ values.

Finally, we investigated whether differences between the dose levels administered in the comparison tests were greater for the extreme cases. Generally, within a species the doses in different tests of the same chemical are guite similar. We computed the ratio of maximum doses tested in the experiments that yielded the minimum and maximum TD_{50} values. The median of this ratio for the cases that were not extreme (i.e., differed by less than a factor of 10) was 1.09 for mice and 1.25 for rats. We found that three-quarters of the extreme cases with a ratio > 10 were above this median in each species. This result is not surprising since generally the TD₅₀ value is restricted by the maximum dose tested in a bioassay, i.e., a statistically significant TD_{50} cannot be very far from the administered dose, given the usual experimental design (13).

We thank Bruce N. Ames for his continued advice, Kenneth Brown for his early contributions to this work, and Michael Dunker for technical support. This work was supported by NIEHS/DOE Interagency Agreement 222-Y01-ES-10066 through the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory.

,REFERENCES

- Ames, B. N., Magaw, R., and Gold, L. S. Ranking possible carcinogenic hazards. Science 236: 271–280 (1987).
- Gold, L. S., Backman, G., Hooper, K., and Peto, R. Ranking the potential carcinogenic hazards to workers from exposures to chemicals that are tumorigenic in rodents. Environ. Health Perspect. 76: 211-220 (1987).
- McCann, J., Gold, L. S., Horn, L., McGill, R., Graedel, T. E., and Kaldor, J. Statistical analysis of *Salmonella* test data and

comparison to results of animal cancer tests. Mutat. Res. 205: 183-195 (1988).

- Gold, L. S., Sawyer, C. B., Magaw, R., Backman, G. M., de Veciana, M., Levinson, R., Hooper, N. K., Havender, W. R., Bernstein, L., Peto, R., Pike, M., and Ames, B. N. A Carcinogenic Potency Database of the standardized results of animal bioassays. Environ. Health Perspect. 58: 9–319 (1984).
- Gold, L. S., de Veciana, M., Backman, G. M., Magaw, R., Lopipero, P., Smith, M., Blumenthal, M., Levinson, R., Gerson, J., Bernstein, L., and Ames, B. N. Chronological supplement to the Carcinogenic Potency Database: Standardized results of animal bioassays published through December 1982. Environ. Health Perspect. 67: 161–200 (1986).
- Gold, L. S., Slone, T. H., Backman, G. M., Magaw, R., Da Costa, M., Lopipero, P., Blumenthal, M., and Ames, B. N. Second chronological supplement to the Carcinogenic Potency Database: Standardized results of animal bioassays published through December 1984 and by the National Toxicology Program through May 1986. Environ. Health Perspect. 74: 237-329 (1987).
- Gold, L. S., Magaw, R., Bernstein, L., and Slone, T. H. Interspecies extrapolation in carcinogenesis: Prediction between rats and mice. Environ. Health Perspect. 81: in press.
- 8. Haseman, J., Huff, J. E., Zeiger, E., and McConnell, E. E. Comparative results of 327 chemical carcinogenicity studies. Environ. Health Perspect. 74: 229–236 (1987).
- 9. Sawyer, C., Peto, R., Bernstein, L., and Pike, M. C. Calculation of carcinogenic potency from long-term animal carcinogenesis experiments. Biometrics 40: 27-40 (1984).
- Peto, R., Pike, M. C., Bernstein, L., Gold, L. S., and Ames, B. N. The TD₅₀: A proposed general convention for the numerical description of the carcinogenic potency of chemicals in chronicexposure animal experiments. Environ. Health Perspect. 58: 1–8 (1984).
- Gold, L. S., Bernstein, L., Kaldor, J., Backman, G., and Hoel, D. An empirical comparison of methods used to estimate carcinogenic potency in long-term animal bioassays: Lifetable vs. summary incidence data. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 6: 263–269 (1986).
- 12. Gaylor, D. W., and Chen, J. J. Relative potency of chemical carcinogens in rodents. Risk Anal. 6: 283-290 (1986).
- Bernstein, L., Gold, L. S., Ames, B. N., Pike, M. C., and Hoel, D. G. Some tautologous aspects of the comparison of carcinogenic potency in rats and mice. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 5: 79–86 (1985).